





WRITTEN REPORT OF THE CONTRACTING AUTHORITY

pursuant to Section 217 of the Act No. 134/2016 Coll., Public Procurement Act, as amended (hereinafter referred to as the "Act")

public supply contract

Reg. No. of the public procurement: Z2023-058585

TED No.: 2023/S 247-782517

Date of commence of the procurement procedure: 19. 12. 2023 Date of publication of the procurement procedure: 21. 12. 2023

Public contract:

"FoS/UPOL – Benchtop X-band EPR spectrometer with accessories"

Contracting Authority:

Palacký University Olomouc

Rector: prof. MUDr. Martin Procházka, Ph.D.

Legal Adress: Křížkovského 511/8, CZ-771 47 Olomouc,

Czech Republic

Identification No.: 61989592

(hereinafter referred to as the "Contracting Authority")



Section 217 (2) a) of the Act

the identification of the contracting authority, the subject-matter of the public contract and the price agreed in the public contract, where it has been concluded

IDENTIFICATION DATA OF THE CONTRACTING AUTHORITY

Palacký University Olomouc

Rector: prof. MUDr. Martin Procházka, Ph.D.

Legal Adress: Křížkovského 511/8, CZ-771 47 Olomouc, Czech Republic

Identification No.: 61989592

SUBJECT-MATTER OF THE PUBLIC CONTRACT

The subject of the public contract is delivery of one piece of benchtop X-band EPR spectrometer with accessories. In addition to the delivery itself, the subject of the public contract is also the installation, training of the operator and provision of warranty service. The detailed technical specification is set out in Article 2(2.2) of the procurement documentation.

CLASSIFICATION OF THE SUBJECT OF THE PUBLIC CONTRACT FOR SUPPLIES

Název	CPV
Spectrum analyzer	38433300-2
Spectrometers	38433000-9
Laboratory, optical and precision equipment (excl. glasses)	38000000-5

PRICE AGREED IN THE PUBLIC CONTRACT

Total price without VAT	1.830.750,00 CZK
VAT 21%	384.457,50 CZK
Total price incl. VAT	2.215.207,50 CZK

Section 217 (2) b) of the Act the type of procurement procedure used

Public supply contract awarded in the above-threshold regime in open procedure in accordance with provisions of Section 56 of the Act.



Section 217 (2) c) of the Act the identification of the participants and their tender prices

No.	ECONOMIC OPERATOR	Date and time of delivery of the tender	Tender price in CZK without VAT
1.	LINEV Systems EU UAB Kaunas district, Biruliskių village, Ryternos str.,3C -1 LT-54469, Lithuania Identification No.: 306090790 Legal form: 112 – limited liability company	29.01.2024 15:03:05	1.830.750,00
2.	Měřící technika Morava s.r.o. Babická 619 664 84 Zastávka, Czech Republic Identification No.: 29316715 Legal form: 112 – limited liability company	29.01.2024 17:21:33	3.999.475,00

Section 217 (2) d) of the Act

the identification of all participants excluded from the procurement procedure including a statement of grounds for their exclusion

The Contracting Authority did not exclude any participant in the procurement procedure.

Section 217 (2) e) of the Act

the identification of the economic operators with which a contract or a framework agreement was concluded, including a statement of reasons for their selection

LINEV Systems EU UAB

Kaunas district, Biruliskių village, Ryternos str.,3C -1 LT-54469, Lithuania Identification No.: 306090790

Legal form: 112 - limited liability company

In accordance with procurement conditions the purchase contract was concluded with the above-mentioned selected economic operator on the 25th March 2024.



REASONS FOR SELECTION OF THE ECONOMIC OPERATOR:

The tenders of the public contract were evaluated on the basis of their economic advantageousness.

For the award of the public contract, the Contracting Authority established the following partial evaluation criteria as part of the evaluation according to the economic advantageousness of the tender:

1. Total tender price in CZK excluding VAT

80 %

2. Technical merit

20 %

Evaluation method:

Evaluation criterion No. 1

Total tender price in CZK excluding VAT

In this criterion the Contracting Authority evaluated the amount of the total tender price in CZK excluding VAT, determined in accordance with the conditions of the procurement documentation, from the lowest value to the highest value. Each evaluated tender received points in this criterion, which was determined based on the formula below:

the lowest value					
	X	100	X	0,80	(points)
the ranked value					

No.	ECONOMIC OPERATOR	Tender price in CZK without VAT	Points	Weight (%)	Weighted score
1.	LINEV Systems EU UAB	1 830 750,00	100,00	0,80	80,00
2.	Měřící technika Morava s.r.o.	3 999 475,00	45,77	0,80	36,62

Evaluation criterion No. 2

Technical merit

In this evaluation criterion the Contracting Authority evaluated the values of the individual technical parameters offered by the supplier in comparison with the values specified below required by the Contracting Authority.

For each evaluated parameter, its point evaluation is indicated according to the weight that the Contracting Authority attaches to this technical parameter. Individual technical parameters are sub-criteria of this partial evaluation criterion. The evaluation was carried out using the following scoring method:

 for technical parameters, the value of which is expressed only as fulfilled - not fulfilled (YES - NO), the following applies: fulfilled (YES) = full number of points, not fulfilled (NO) = 0 points The supplier was obliged to indicate in his tender the data to be evaluated, if he fails to do so, no points were awarded in the evaluation sub-criterion.

Sub-criteria for evaluation within evaluation criterion No. 2:

Subcriteria	Technical parameter	Scoring method	Point rating
S1	Setup for quantitative evaluation of EPR spectra with reference-free system	YES/NO	10/0
S2	Software for simulation and fitting of EPR spectra of solution utilizing spin-trap library	YES/NO	10/0
	Total for evaluation criterion No. 2		20

Subcriterion S1 - Setup for quantitative evaluation of EPR spectra with reference-free system

YES = 10 point /NO = 0 points

No.	ECONOMIC OPERATOR	YES/NO	Points
1.	LINEV Systems EU UAB	YES	10
2.	Měřící technika Morava s.r.o.	YES	10

Subcriterion S2 - Software for simulation and fitting of EPR spectra of solution utilizing spin-trap library

YES = 10 point /NO = 0 points

No.	ECONOMIC OPERATOR	YES/NO	Points
1.	LINEV Systems EU UAB	YES	10
2.	Měřící technika Morava s.r.o.	YES	10

The total point value for evaluation criterion No. 2 was obtained by summing the points of the individual subcriteria:

S1 + S2

No.	ECONOMIC OPERATOR	Total points S1 + S2
1.	LINEV Systems EU UAB	20
2.	Měřící technika Morava s.r.o.	20

The overall evaluation is the sum of the point evaluations of the partial evaluation criteria. The final ranking was determined according to the number of points achieved – more points mean a better placement of the tender. The most economically advantageous tender is the one that received the highest total number of points.

In the event of equality of the total number of points, the tender with a lower total tender price in CZK excluding VAT was considered more economically advantageous.

The sum of the point evaluations of the partial evaluation criteria:

No.	ECONOMIC OPERATOR	Total points for criterion 1+2	Ranking
1.	LINEV Systems EU UAB	100,00	1.
2.	Měřící technika Morava s.r.o.	56,62	2.

Final ranking of the tenders:

Ranking	ECONOMIC OPERATOR	Total points
1.	LINEV Systems EU UAB Kaunas district, Biruliskių village, Ryternos str.,3C -1 LT-54469, Lithuania Identification No.: 306090790 Legal form: 112 – limited liability company	100,00
2.	Měřící technika Morava s.r.o. Babická 619 664 84 Zastávka, Czech Republic Identification No.: 29316715 Legal form: 112 – limited liability company	56,62

The tender of the selected economic operator met all the requirements of the Contracting Authority stated in the procurement conditions.

Tender price 1.830.750,00 CZK without VAT, ie. 2.215.207,50 CZK incl. VAT

Section 217 (2) f) of the Act

the identification of subcontractors of the economic operators specified in paragraph e), where they are known to the contracting authority

No subcontractor of the selected economic operator is known to the Contracting Authority.



Section 217 (2) g) of the Act

justification of the use of a negotiated procedure with prior publication or a competitive dialogue procedure, where such procedures was used

A negotiated procedure with prior publication was not used, a competitive dialogue procedure was not used.

Section 217 (2) h) of the Act

justification of the use of a negotiated procedure without prior publication, where such procedure was used

A negotiated procedure without prior publication was not used.

Section 217 (2) i) of the Act

justification of the use of a light regime, where such regime was used

A light regime was not used.

Section 217 (2) j) of the Act

justification of the cancellation of the procurement procedure where such cases occurred

The procurement procedure was not canceled.

Section 217 (2) k) of the Act

justification of the use of means of communication other than electronic means for the submission of tenders, where such other means were used

Tenders were submitted only electronically.

Section 217 (2) I) of the Act

a list of persons who were found to have a conflict of interest and a list of subsequently adopted measures, where a conflict of interest was detected

No persons with a conflict of interest were identified.



Section 217 (2) m) of the Act

where the contracting authority does not divide an above-threshold public contract into lots, it shall indicate the justification of such procedure, unless it has already indicated it in the procurement documents

The public contract is not divided into the parts, as the subject of the public contract forms a compact unit, where it is not technically possible to divide the public contract. Due to the nature of the matter and to ensure full functionality and compatibility, it is therefore not possible to divide the public contract into parts. It is a highly sophisticated unit, where it is not possible to separate individual components. The unit is controlled by single software, which ensures cooperation of the individual systems, while these systems are completely interdependent on each other.

Section 217 (2) n) of the Act

justification of laying down the requirement of turnover when proceeding pursuant to Section 78 (3) of the Act, where it has not already indicated it in the procurement documents

The Contracting Authority did not proceed in accordance with Section 78 (3) of the Act.

In Olomouc

08. 04. 2024

rektorat Křížkovského 8, 77/1 47/019

prof. MUDr. Martin Procházka, Ph.D. rector of Palacký University Olomouc

